

# IS IT STILL WORTH TREATING PATIENTS WITH PERIODONTAL DISEASE IN THE NEW ERA OF DENTISTRY?

Current advances in the medical field have facilitated the diagnosis and treatment of various diseases.<sup>1</sup> As periodontal disease (PD) is a widespread chronic inflammatory illness, reaching up to 80% of the Latin America population,<sup>2</sup> the scientific and technological arsenal for its management are made available to dental professionals during academic learning or through continued education. However, despite all knowledge related to PD, it is especially intriguing that even though several studies have shown the possibility of controlling PD for long periods of time, through a regular and effective mechanical control of the biofilm,<sup>3</sup> it remains so prevalent.

On the other hand, therapies that aim to replace teeth are gaining more space. As people prefer to maintain their teeth,<sup>4</sup> and the longevity of teeth and dental implant seems to be similar,<sup>5</sup> it is paradoxical that treatment modalities that replace natural teeth by artificial ones are increasingly popular among professionals and patients, especially if you consider that such treatment proposal does not decrease the prevalence of PD and is only available to a few people.

It is urgent to discuss a crucial point of periodontal treatment. Several studies present a treatment protocol that includes supra- and subgingival mechanical debridement at the same appointment.<sup>6</sup> Because the entire treatment requires only a few sessions, and the patient is not yet able to

self-control the biofilm, the risk of periodontal pockets' recontamination increases, thus perpetuating the disease. Patients should receive repeated oral hygiene instructions, supragingival scaling and tooth cleaning before subgingival professional debridement, in order to learn how to maintain a low plaque score and to prevent cross-contamination in the post-active therapy phase.<sup>6</sup> The critical challenge of periodontal treatment is neither the lack of knowledge about the disease, nor the technical complexity involved in its therapy. Patients must change their lifestyle; they also need to be in a periodic maintenance schedule to stay focused on biofilm control.<sup>7</sup> Just by following these steps, you can guarantee long-term periodontal treatment success.<sup>8</sup>

It's unquestionable that treatments with dental substitutes can be considered as an option, especially after evaluating the survival rate of teeth. But it would be important to make more efforts in order to treat PD, focusing on what really works, mainly because it does not seem valid to offer treatment alternatives that are also harmed by the ubiquitous presence of biofilm, like dental implants. Undoubtedly, new cosmetic and reconstructive techniques and technologies have potential applications to satisfy the specific needs of the patient,<sup>9</sup> but as stated by Pjetursson et al. (2018)<sup>10</sup>, implants are supposed to replace missing teeth, they are not supposed to replace teeth.

How about giving natural teeth a new chance?

## REFERENCES

1. Lopes MT, Koch VH, Sarrubbi-Junior V, Gallo PR, Carneiro-Sampaio M. Difficulties in the diagnosis and treatment of rare diseases according to the perceptions of patients, relatives and health care professionals. *Clinics (Sao Paulo)*. 2018;73:e68. doi: 10.6061/clinics/2018/e68.
2. Oppermann RV. An overview of the epidemiology of periodontal diseases in Latin America. *Braz Oral Res*. 2007;21:8-15. doi: 10.1590/S1806-83242007000500003.
3. Axelsson P, Nyström B, Lindhe J. The long-term effect of a plaque control program on tooth mortality, caries and periodontal disease in adults. Results after 30 years of maintenance. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2004 Sep;31(9):749-57. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2004.00563.x.
4. Re D, Ceci C, Cerutti F, Fabbro MD, Corbella S, Taschieri S. Natural tooth preservation versus extraction and implant placement: patient preferences and analysis of the willingness to pay. *Br Dent J*. 2017 Mar;222(6):467-71. doi: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2017.271.
5. Tomasi C, Wennstrom JL, Berglundh T. Longevity of teeth and

implants – systematic review. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2008 Jan;35(Suppl 1):23-32. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2842.2007.01831.x.

6. Canabarro A, Marcantonio É Jr, De-Deus G. Use of the Strength of Recommendation Taxonomy (SORT) to assess full-mouth treatments of chronic periodontitis. *J Oral Sci*. 2015;57(4):345-53. doi: 10.2334/josnusd.57.345.
7. Chapple IL, Bouchard P, Cagetti MG, Campus G, Carra MC, Cocco F, et al. Interaction of lifestyle, behaviour or systemic diseases with dental caries and periodontal diseases: consensus report of group 2 of the joint EFP/ORCA workshop on the boundaries between caries and periodontal diseases. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2017 Mar;44(Suppl 18):S39-S51. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12685.
8. Shumaker ND, Metcalf BT, Toscano NT, Holtzclaw DJ. Periodontal and periimplant maintenance: a critical factor in long-term treatment success. *Compend Contin Educ Dent*. 2009 Sep;30(7):388-90.
9. Blatz MB, Chiche G, Bahat O, Roblee R, Coachman C, Heymann HO. Evolution of Aesthetic Dentistry. *J Dent Res*. 2019 Nov;98(12):1294-304. doi: 10.1177/0022034519875450.
10. Pjetursson BE, Heimisdottir K. Dental implants - are they better than natural teeth? *Eur J Oral Sci*. 2018 Oct;126(Suppl 1):81-7. doi: 10.1111/eos.12543.

### Antonio Canabarro

Full Professor, Department of Periodontology, Universidade Veiga de Almeida (UVA), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

Associate Professor, Department of Periodontology, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.