# MIRROR IMAGE: A RARE CASE OF PROLONGED TOOTH RETENTION IN TWINS

Viviane Andrade Cancio de Paula<sup>1</sup>, Maristela Barbosa Portela<sup>1\*</sup>, Roberta Barcelos<sup>2</sup>, Laura Guimarães Primo<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinics and Pediatric Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Universidade Federal Fluminense (UFF), Niterói, RJ, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>Health Institute of Nova Friburgo (ISNF), Universidade Federal Fluminense (UFF), Nova Friburgo, RJ, Brazil. <sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatric Dentistry and Orthodontics, School of Dentistry, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

**Palavras-chaves**: Caso Clínico. Dente.

#### Decíduo. Gêmeos.

#### RESUMO

Introdução: Estudos feitos em gêmeos são importantes porque fatores ambientais e genéticos parecem estar relacionados às alterações fenotípicas. **Objetivo**: Este artigo apresenta um caso raro de gêmeos monozigóticos apresentando imagem em espelho de retenção prolongada de incisivos centrais decíduos superiores homólogos. Relato do caso: Os irmãos gêmeos, com 9 anos de idade, não apresentavam história de trauma orofacial ou doença comum da infância. Após exames clínicos e radiográficos foram identificados a retenção do dente 51 no gêmeo 1 e do dente 61 no gêmeo 2. Em ambos os pacientes, os dentes 11 e o 21 estavam em erupção. O tratamento proposto foi a exodontia dos dentes decíduos com anestesia local e acompanhamento. Conclusão: Gêmeos podem apresentar semelhança no padrão de anomalias dentárias devido à influência de fatores genéticos. Adicionalmente, em gêmeos monozigóticos, a localização das anomalias diagnosticadas pode se apresentar invertidas ou imagem em espelho. Esse fato deve estimular o profissional a examinar o par de gêmeos para diagnosticar qualquer anomalia dentária que possa estar presente. O diagnóstico precoce e tratamento adequados devem ser realizados para evitar danos funcionais e estéticos em pacientes com retenções dentárias.

**Keywords:** Case Reports. Dental Care for Children. Tooth. Deciduous. Twins.

Submitted: July 21, 2020 Modification: Sep 10, 2020 Accepted: Oct 14, 2020

#### \*Correspondence to:

Maristela Barbosa Portela Rua Mario Santos Braga 28, Centro, Niterói, RJ, Brazil. - Zip Code: 24020-140 Telephone number: +55 21 2629- 9832 - Fax: +55 21 2622-5739 E-mail: mbportela@hotmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

**Introduction**: Studies of twins are important because environmental and genetic factors seem to be related to the phenotypic alterations. **Objective**: This paper presents a unique case of monozygotic twins with mirror image of a retained primary central incisor. **Case report**: Twin male brothers, 9-years-old, presented prolonged retention of the primary central upper incisor. The over-retained teeth in one twin were a mirror image of those in the other twin. The first twin presented a prolonged retention of the tooth 51 whereas the other twin presented a prolonged retention of the tooth 51 whereas the over-retained teeth were extracted. **Conclusion**: Twins may show similarity in pattern of dental anomalies supporting the influence of genetic factors. In identical twins the location of diagnosed anomalies can be mirror imaged. This fact should lead the professional to examine the pair of twins in order to diagnose any dental anomaly that may be present.

### INTRODUCTION

Mirror-image is a phenomenon in which a given characteristic is expressed in reverse sides when monozygotic siblings are compared to each other.<sup>1</sup> Even though there is a relatively strong genetic basis to missing or extra teeth, the number or position of affected teeth can be influenced by epigenetic factors. The mechanism of mirror imaging is unwell understood.<sup>2</sup> Different forms of division may occur in the monozygotic embryo. The first one occurs in an early developmental phase resulting from two zygotic cells. The second one, is the result from zygotic splitting during early blastocystic stage. One explanation for mirror-image is the division in a later

Tooth retention of mirror image twins Paula et al.

manifestations few different anomalies have been reported in

the available literature (Table 1), but no case was found

showing mirror image of over-retention of primary teeth. This

paper presents a unique case of monozygotic twins aged 9

years, with mirror image retained primary central incisors.

embryonary stage.<sup>3</sup> Different forms of mirror-image anomalies in monozygotic twins were found in medical reports such as radial longitudinal hypoplasia and bifid spine,<sup>1</sup> myopia,<sup>3</sup> optic nerve hypoplasia<sup>1</sup>, bone cysts,<sup>5,6</sup> arachnoid cysts<sup>2</sup> and carcinomas.<sup>4</sup>With respect to the mirror-image oral

Table 1: Mirror imaging dental findings in non-syndromic twins.

Author (year)	Dental findings
Nik-Hussein and Salcedo, 1987 <sup>(8)</sup>	Double teeth with hypodontia
Carton and Rees, 1987 <sup>(9)</sup>	Conical supranumerary tooth
Beere, 1990 <sup>(10)</sup>	Supranumerary tooth
Lauweryns et al, 1992 <sup>(11)</sup>	Mesio lingual rotation tooth
Sperber et al, 1994 <sup>(12)</sup>	Fused tooth
West, 1995 <sup>(13)</sup>	Disto bucal rotation of the tooth / Deciduous tooth shed
Casseta et al, 2015 <sup>(14)</sup>	Impacted teeth / Supernumerary teeth

### **CASE REPORT**

The twin male patients, 9 years old, arrived for routine appointment at the Pediatric Dentistry Clinic of a Public School of Dentistry in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, with chief complaint of non-exfoliation of primary teeth in both children. Their prenatal and natal histories were uneventful. No history of orofacial trauma or unusual childhood diseases was determined. The twins had identical features and their height and weight were within normal limits.

However, clinical examination showed that one of the twin (twin 1) presented a prolonged retention of the tooth 51, teeth 11 and 21 were erupting being that tooth 11 in a different position than its homolog (Figure 1). Regarding the twin 2, it was observed a prolonged retention of tooth 61 and presence of teeth 11 and 21 as well (Figure 2). In twin 1, both tooth 11 and 21 erupted in the correct position in the arch, unlike twin 2, where only tooth 21 erupted in the normal position. Dental caries and other oral pathologies were not observed in both brothers.

Periapical and panoramic radiographs confirmed the prolonged retention of deciduous teeth in both twins. The retention of deciduous contralateral teeth in these twins was diagnosed as a mirroring image phenomenon. No other alterations were observed in the radiographic exams.

The treatment plan included extraction of the retained teeth under local anesthesia (Figures 3 and 4). The procedure was performed following the mother's consent. Both patients were undergoing dental exchange and the incisors had an open apex, which did not recommend orthodontic movement at that time. Therefore, it was decided to refer patients for orthodontic evaluation.



**Figure 1**: A) Frontal view of the patient's face - twin 01 B) Photography of frontal view of tooth 51. C) Periapical radiography of teeth 11, 21 and 51. D) Photography of oclusal view of teeth 51.



**Figure 2**: A) Frontal view of the patient's face - twin 02. B) Frontal view of tooth 61. C) Periapical radiography of teeth 11, 21 and 61. D) Oclusal view of teeth 61.



Figure 3: A) Photograpy of oclusal view of twin 1 after extraction of the teeth 51. B) Photograpy of the tooth 51 removed exhibiting physiological root resorption.



Figure 4: A) Photograpy of cclusal view of twin 2 after extraction of the tooth 61. B) Photograpy of the tooth 61 removed exhibiting physiological root resorption.

## DISCUSSION

The interesting aspect of the present case was the mirror image of the anomaly. In this pair of twins, the dental anomalies were identical but on contralateral sides. Mirror imaging has been demonstrated in the dental findings pertaining to normal twins, as well as those with facial dysmorphlogies such as cleft and/or palate.<sup>11</sup> Although, the supposition that monozygotic twins will always exhibit pathologies at homologue sides may not be entirely true, and for this reason the practitioner should pay attention to genetic and environmental factors<sup>8,11,15,16</sup> In addition, two or more concomitant developmental alterations are likely to be identified in monozygotic twins. Therefore, we ordered panoramic radiographs of each twin despite the normal clinical and developmental conditions, in order to diagnose any other anomaly that would be present.

The literature shows the prolonged retention of primary teeth in non-twin associated with trauma or endodontic treatment.<sup>8,17,18</sup> The percentage of prolonged retention of primary teeth with displacement of the permanent series is 4.2%.<sup>19</sup> Other dental situations associated with the mirror-image twins found were double teeth with hypodontia,<sup>8</sup> conical supernumerary tooth,<sup>9</sup> supernumerary tooth,10 rotating mesio lingual tooth<sup>11</sup> molten tooth. However, prolonged retention of primary teeth in mirrortwins has not been reported, making this report relevant.

In the present case, it was not possible to identify the exact cause of prolonged retention of deciduous teeth as no

history of dental trauma<sup>8,17</sup> was reported during anamnesis. As few studies regarding mirror imaging in the oral cavity of monozygotic twins, this case can be considered an unusual condition in dentistry. There is no evidence in the literature that one side of one twin was more concordant with the opposite side of the co-twin.<sup>12</sup> It is possible that monozygotic twin pairs have exactly the same genotype, and that any phenotypic differences<sup>8</sup> between them must be caused by prenatal or postnatal environmental influences.<sup>12</sup> On the other hand, the present case suggests that when one twin presents an alteration of any kind, the other may also present the same alteration in a mirrored way, generating the need for more accurate exams. Panoramic radiographs were requested but no other alterations were observed.

Lingual development and eruption of the mandibular permanent incisors and the concomitant retention of mandibular primary teeth before 8 years old is considered physiological.<sup>11</sup> However, cases of prolonged retention of upper central incisors are seldom reported, since permanent teeth follow their precedent deciduous teeth through the roots.<sup>8,9,12</sup> These cases are considered relevant because the eruption of permanent teeth can be affected by such a delay in exfoliation. Despite the lack of tooth alignment, both patient and mother agreed on having the orthodontic correction postponed.

One limitation of the present case report could be a widely described fact, the memory bias, especially related to minor orofacial traumas that children can suffer at young age, causing a negative answer during anamnesis. In addition,

this case seems to be the first report of twins with prolonged retention of primary teeth, which could hinder other associations between cause and effect found in the literature. Thus, it can be concluded that in identical twins the location of diagnosed anomalies can be mirror imaged. This fact should lead the professional to examine the pair of twins in order to diagnose any dental anomaly that may be present. Acute diagnosis and proper treatment at the appropriate time should be instituted to avoid functional, esthetic, and also psychological consequences in patients with over-retained teeth.

# REFERENCES

 Leblebicioglu G, Balci S, Uzümcügil A. Variable expressivity of congenital longitudinal radial deficiency and spinal dysraphism in monozygotic twins. Turk J Pediatr. 2005 Oct-Dec;47(4):390-2.
 Onyeaso CO. Permanent double teeth and hypodontia in a pair of monozygotic twins: case report. Odontostomatol Trop. 2004 Dec 27(108):33-6.

3. Babacan H, Öztürk F, Polat HB. Identical unerupted maxillary incisors in monozygotic twins. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2010 Oct 138(4):498-509. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2008.08.043.

4. Zhou JY, Pu JL, Chen S, Hong Y, Ling CH, Zhang JM. Mirrorimage arachnoid cysts in a pair of monozygotic twins: a case report and review of the literature. Int J Med Sci. 2011 8(5):402-5. doi: 10.7150/ijms.8.402.

5. Townsend G, Richards L. Twins and twinning, dentists and dentistry. Aust Dent J. 1990 Aug 35(4):317-27. doi: 10.1111/j.1834-7819.1990.tb00779.x.

6. Goto T, Nemoto T, Okuma T, Kobayashi H, Funata N. Mirrorimage solitary bone cyst of the humerus in a pair of mirror-image monozygotic twins. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2008 Dec 128(12):1403-6. doi: 10.1007/s00402-007-0542-1.

7. Lenze U, Stolberg-Stolberg J, Pohlig F, Lenze F, von Eisenhart-Rothe R, Rechl H, Toepfer A. Unicameral Bone Cyst in the Calcaneus of Mirror Image Twins. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2015 Jul-Aug 54(4):754-7. doi: 10.1053/j.jfas.2014.09.017. 8. Nik-Hussein NN, Salcedo AH. Double teeth with hypodontia in identical twins. ASDC J Dent Child. 1987 May-Jun 54(3):179-81.
9. Carton A, Rees RT. Mirror image dental anomalies in identical twins. Br Dent J. 1987 Mar 7;162(5):193-4. doi: 10.1038/sj.bdj.4806071.

10. Beere D, Hargreaves JA, Sperber GH, Cleaton-Jones P. Mirror image supplemental primary incisor teeth in twins: case report and review. Pediatr Dent. 1990 Nov-Dec 12(6):390-2.

11. Lauweryns I, De Loecker M, Carels C. Mirror image in aplasia of a premolar in a monochorial twin: Case report and review. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 1992 Fall 17(1):41-4.

12. Sperber GH, Machin GA, Bamforth FJ. Mirror-image dental fusion and discordance in monozygotic twins. Am J Med Genet. 1994 May 15;51(1):41-5. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.1320510110.

13. West VC. Case reports. Mirror image twins. Aust Orthod J. 1985 Oct;9(2):243.

14. Cassetta M, Altieri F, Giordano A. Mirror imaging of impacted and supernumerary teeth in dizygotic twins: A case report. J Clin Exp Dent. 2015 Feb 1;7(1):e167-9. doi: 10.4317/jced.51815.

15. Okamoto F, Nonoyama T, Hommura S. Mirror image myopic anisometropia in two pairs of monozygotic twins. Ophthalmologica. 2001;215(6):435-438. doi: 10.1159/000050904. 16. Mensing CA. Mirror-image twins. Northwest Dent. 1983 Nov-Dec 62(6):41-2.

17. Gellin ME, Haley JV. Managing cases of overretention of mandibular primary incisors where their permanent successors erupt lingually. ASDC J Dent Child. 1982 Mar-Apr;49(2):118-22.

18- Correa-Faria P, Alcantara CE, Mesquita AT, Marques LS, Ramos-Jorge ML. Clinical and SEM characterization of prolonged retention of a primary tooth with pulpectomy. Gen Dent. 2013 May-Jun 61(3):46-8.

19- Onyeaso CO, Oneyeaso AO. Occlusal/dental anomalies found in a random sample of Nigerian schoolchildren. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2006;4(3):181-6.